The following is a translation from Estonian. In case of disputes, the Estonian text shall prevail.

APPROVED

by the Board of the Estonian Research Council on 28.12.2020, Directive No. 1.1-4/20/200

Guidelines for incoming postdoctoral grant applications 2021

Chapter 1

GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. Scope of Application

- 1.1. The guidelines establish the procedure for applying for, evaluating, allocating, and reporting on the incoming postdoctoral research funding, and the conditions for suspending and terminating the project.
- 1.2. The Estonian Research Council (hereinafter Council) is entitled to make well-considered decisions and consult experts where necessary in relation to matters not covered by this directive.

2. Aim

The aim of the activity is to supplement Estonian research and development institutions and businesses with young and talented researchers, who have studied or worked in foreign countries and have acquired skills and knowledge for conducting research and development.

3. Definitions

Postdoctoral fellow — a researcher who has obtained his/her first doctoral degree or equivalent qualification in the R&D field in which the application is to be processed no more than five years prior to 1 January of the year of the call. The date of awarding a doctoral degree or equivalent qualification is the date in the respective document issued by the awarding body. If the postdoctoral fellow has been on parental leave or in compulsory military service (or equivalent alternative service) after obtaining his/her doctoral degree, the period of qualification is extended by the corresponding period in full months and is rounded up to the higher number of months.

Postdoctoral project – the description of scientific research, which includes a clearly defined research problem and a specification of basic or applied research to be used for resolving the problem.

Postdoctoral grant – a grant awarded to researchers coming from a foreign country to an Estonian research and development institution, undertaking or higher education institution for implementing a specific research and development project (hereinafter "grant").

Host institution — an Estonian research and development institution, undertaking or higher education institution, which serves as the place of implementation of the postdoctoral project and, upon award of the grant, enters into an employment contract with the postdoctoral fellow.

4. Applicants

- 4.1. A host institution can apply for the grant to be awarded to a person, who will implement the postdoctoral project, i.e. the postdoctoral fellow. The application shall include a confirmation that the host institution will enter into an employment contract with the postdoctoral fellow for implementation of the postdoctoral project.
- 4.2. The recipient of the grant must be a postdoctoral fellow who has completed his/her doctoral studies and has been awarded a doctoral degree in a foreign country (by the time of signing of the grant agreement) and who has not completed doctoral studies in and been awarded a doctoral degree, incl. under a cotutelle agreement, by the host institution.
- 4.3. During the grant period the postdoctoral fellow cannot serve as a principal investigator or senior research staff of a personal research funding project funded by the Council.
- 4.4. The postdoctoral fellow, specified in the application, cannot be replaced during the application procedure and implementation of the postdoctoral project.
- 4.5. Postdoctoral fellows who have previously received Estonian state scholarship funding in order to obtain a doctoral degree abroad, will be preferred.
- 4.6. During the project, the postdoctoral fellow has to work at the host institution on a full-time basis with a place of work in Estonia.
- 4.7. The Council is entitled to prioritise research and development fields for each call for applications.

5. Host Institution and Postdoctoral Supervisor

5.1. The host institution shall provide information on other simultaneous applications, if any, submitted for funding the postdoctoral project or any part thereof from several measures or other state budget, European Union or foreign aid funds.

- 5.2. If the grant being applied for can be construed as state aid (the support will also be used for economic activity), the application must include (under additional information on the relevant form) the information specified in Article 6 (2) of the General Block Exemption Regulation 11, and the application must be submitted before the commencement of any relevant activities. The applicant must describe under additional information on the relevant form whether it applies for the grant according to General Block Exemption Regulation or as de minimis aid. If the support is applied for according to the General Block Exemption Regulation, the funding rate of the project depends on the conditions set in Article 25 of the General Block Exemption Regulation. The applicant must describe whether basic or applied research will be carried out during the project.
- 5.3. The supervisor of the project must have an employment contract with the host institution. The supervisor must have a doctoral degree or equivalent qualification. The supervisor cannot be the same person who has been the (co-)supervisor of the postdoctoral fellow's doctoral dissertation.
- 5.4. In justified cases, the postdoctoral fellow can have a co-supervisor. The co-supervisor is subject to the same conditions as the supervisor.
- 5.5. In justified cases, it is possible to request the change of the (co-)supervisor. The Evaluation Committee shall assess the necessity for changing the (co-)supervisor as well as the qualification and supervision experience of the requested new (co-)supervisor, and submit its proposal to the Board of the Council to approve or not to approve the request.
- 5.6. The host institution shall provide the postdoctoral fellow with the necessary working environment for implementing the project (incl. working space, administrative and support functions, etc).

6. Processing Postdoctoral Grant Applications

The Council is responsible for processing grant applications (hereinafter "applications").

Chapter 2

CONDITIONS FOR APPLYING FOR A GRANT

7. Applying for a Postdoctoral Grant

7.1. The Council will establish the period of the annual call for applications and will announce it on its website and in the Estonian Research Information System (hereinafter ETIS).

 $^{^1}$ Commission Regulation (EU) No 651/2014 declaring certain categories of aid compatible with the internal market in application of Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty (ELT L 187, 26.06.2014, p 1–78), amended by Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/1084 (ELT L 156, 20.06.2017, p 1–18)

- 7.2. The application is prepared by the host institution in cooperation with the postdoctoral fellow and it is then submitted to the Council via ETIS.
- 7.3. The application must be written in English.
- 7.4. The application shall specify the following:
 - 7.4.1. the host institution of the postdoctoral grant;
 - 7.4.2. details of the postdoctoral fellow and the supervisor (co-supervisor);
 - 7.4.3. the title of the postdoctoral project in Estonian and in English;
 - 7.4.4. a summary of the postdoctoral project in Estonian and in English;
 - 7.4.5. the requested grant period;
 - 7.4.6. the envisaged budget of the postdoctoral project in accordance with clause 8;
 - 7.4.7. the general scientific background of the envisaged postdoctoral project, previous research and development activities of the postdoctoral fellow and the supervisor and their links with the postdoctoral project;
 - 7.4.8. the main objectives, hypotheses and methods of the postdoctoral project, as well as the proposed annual work plans;
 - 7.4.9. an explanation about how the compliance with ethical issues will be secured during the implementation of the project and a comment on whether the project requires a licence from a specific ethics committee or the licence has already been obtained;
 - 7.4.10. if the project necessitates compliance with the Nagoya Protocol, an explanation about which genetic resources will be used and whether the project requires the due diligence declaration or the due diligence declaration has already been submitted;
 - 7.4.11. the place of implementation of the postdoctoral project and the quality and sufficiency of the infrastructure necessary for achieving the objectives of the postdoctoral project at the host institution;
 - 7.4.12. the expected results, their potential scientific impact, possible directions for future research, and societal impact, incl. the potential applicability of the results and their importance for Estonian research, culture, society, and/or economy;
 - 7.4.13. an explanation about which data will be generated during the implementation of the project and how the data will be managed;
 - 7.4.14. a confirmation that the principles of research ethics and good research practice will be adhered to during the conception and implementation of the project;
 - 7.4.15. an explanation about how the results of the project will be disseminated to the public.

8. Budget of the Grant

- 8.1. The fixed amounts for the postdoctoral grants or unit costs are based on the directive No. 1.1-4/20/1 of the Board of the Council "Guidelines for Budgeting Grant Applications" approved on 6 January 2020.
- 8.2. The fixed amount for experimental project is €4,500 per month and for non-experimental project €4,250 per month:
 - 8.2.1. the unit cost includes the salary fund of the postdoctoral fellow at the host institution, research expenses and overhead expenses;
 - 8.2.2. it is not possible to get the unit costs for a shorter period than one month.
- 8.3. Unit costs of the postdoctoral fellow's relocation allowance:
 - 8.3.1. the unit cost of relocation allowance is €4,000 for projects lasting for one year and €5,500 for projects lasting for two years;
 - 8.3.2. the unit cost includes the allowance payable to the postdoctoral fellow, who is employed by the host institution, to cover the costs of relocation in Estonia, incl. any taxes applicable to allowances pursuant to Estonian legislation (no overhead is charged on the relocation allowance);
 - 8.3.3. the postdoctoral fellow is entitled to request a relocation allowance if he/she has not lived, worked or studied six months immediately prior to the closing date of the call in Estonia;
 - 8.3.4. the unit cost of relocation allowance shall be paid to the host institution as non-recurrent allowance at the beginning of the project.

9. Grant Period

- 9.1. The minimum grant period is 12 months and the maximum period is 24 months.
- 9.2. Implementation of the postdoctoral project shall commence on 1 December 2021 at the latest. The grant period will commence on the date specified in the grant agreement.

Chapter 3

EVALUATION AND GRANTING OF APPLICATIONS

10. Processing and Evaluation of Applications

- 10.1. Processing of applications comprises the following stages:
 - 10.1.1. registration of applications;

- 10.1.2. verification of compliance with the requirements for applications and host institutions;
- 10.1.3. requests for clarifications and further information or additions and modifications, if necessary;
- 10.1.4. decision on accepting or rejecting applications for further processing;
- 10.1.5. evaluation of applications;
- 10.1.6. decision to grant or reject applications.
- 10.2. The term for processing applications is up to 100 working days from the closing date of the call for applications.
- 10.3. The Council may require from the host institution and the postdoctoral fellow, in the course of processing an application, explanations and additional documents concerning the data set out in the application or additions to the application if the Council finds that the application is not sufficiently clear or contains omissions, specifying the exact elements that require clarification, modification or additional information. If omissions are eliminated, the requirement related to the omission is deemed as fulfilled.
- 10.4. When requesting additional information or elimination of omissions in the application, the Council will set a term of up to 10 working days, and the term of processing the application will be extended by the same term.
- 10.5. The term of processing an application can also be extended in other justified cases.
- 10.6. If the host institution, the postdoctoral fellow, the supervisor or the application does not meet the necessary requirements and the omissions are not eliminated by the deadline set by the Council, the Council will reject the application without evaluating the merits of the application.
- 10.7. The evaluation of applications is organised by the Council.
- 10.8. The applications are evaluated by the Evaluation Committee on the basis of the Regulation No. 74 "The Procedure for the Formation and the Rules of Procedure of the Evaluation Committee of the Estonian Research Council" issued by the Minister of Education and Research on 27 December 2011, on these guidelines, and the evaluation guidelines confirmed by the Council.
- 10.9. The initial evaluation of the applications is carried out by field-specific Expert Panels that have been convened by the Evaluation Committee. The Evaluation Committee is entitled to decide which Expert Panel will process which application.
- 10.10. The Expert Panel can use the evaluations and scores of independent experts for the evaluation of applications.
- 10.11. Although non-binding, the Expert Panels shall rely on the evaluations and scores of the independent experts, if any. The Expert Panels will submit the combined evaluations given to each application belonging to their field of expertise to the Evaluation Committee.

- 11.12. The Evaluation Committee is responsible for giving each application its final evaluation and score. Although non-binding, the Evaluation Committee shall rely on the combined evaluations given by the Expert Panels when forming the consolidated evaluation.
- 10.13. The evaluation is based on the following criteria:
 - 10.13.1. justification and scientific quality of the application (methods, expected results, incl. particular field-specific or application-related characteristics, data management plan, ethical issues, sufficiency of the infrastructure and budget, etc);
 - 10.13.2. the qualifications of the postdoctoral fellow and the supervisor and the capacity to implement the project;
 - 10.13.3. impact of the project on the development of Estonian research, culture, society, and economy.
- 10.14. The applications are scored for individual criteria on a scale of 1 to 5 as follows: 1 inadequate; 2 adequate; 3 good; 4 very good; 5 excellent. Scores can be given at intervals of 0.5 points.
- 10.15. The criteria 10.13.1. and 10.13.2. each account for 40% and the criterion 10.13.3. for 20% of the final score.
- 10.16. The Evaluation Committee will compile field-specific ranking lists for all applications based on the final evaluations and scores. The compliant applications will be granted in the order of ranking until depletion of the funds allocated for this call for applications. The allocation of funds between research fields and the number of projects funded will be decided by the Evaluation Committee.
- 10.17. In case of applications of equal final score, preference will be given to the application with higher score in the category of scientific quality. After that, preference will be given to the applicants who have previously received Estonian state scholarship funding in order to obtain a doctoral degree abroad.
- 10.18. Applications with a final score lower than 3.5 will not be granted.
- 10.19. In the final evaluation, the Evaluation Committee may prescribe certain conditions that the host institution and the postdoctoral fellow are required to fulfil upon receiving the grant.
- 10.20. The Council will make the evaluations and scores of independent experts, the final evaluation and score, the initial financing proposal (hereinafter "proposal") of the Evaluation Committee, and the position of the applicant in the ranking list known to the host institution and the postdoctoral fellow. The host institution and the postdoctoral fellow are entitled to submit a written joint opinion on the initial proposal within the time limit established by the Council (hearing). At the hearing, attention will primarily be paid to the assessment of the adherence to procedural rules and to the correction of possible factual errors. The scientific evaluation given by the independent experts or by the Evaluation Committee will not be reevaluated. If the Evaluation Committee has prescribed certain conditions in the final evaluation

that must be fulfilled in order to receive the grant, the host institution and the postdoctoral fellow have to submit a consent to secure the compliance with these conditions.

10.21. The Council is entitled to reject the application if intentional false information, plagiarism, or fraudulent activities become evident.

11. Granting of Applications

- 11.1. Considering the final evaluation and the joint opinion received from the host institution and the postdoctoral fellow, the Evaluation Committee will submit a justified and impartial proposal to the Council:
 - 11.1.1. to grant the application in full;
 - 11.1.2. to grant the application in parts;
 - 11.1.3. to reject the application.
- 11.2. The Council has a right, subject to a reasoned decision, to send a proposal back to the Evaluation Committee for a repeat review.
- 11.3. The decision on full or partial granting or rejection of an application is made by a directive of the Board of the Council, specifying the grant budget for each granted application.
- 11.4. A decision on rejecting an application is made in the following cases:
 - 11.4.1. based on the results of evaluation, the threshold specified in clause 10.18 is not exceeded or met;
 - 11.4.2. the project cannot be supported due to the available budget for funding the applications;
 - 11.4.3. the ethical issues of the project have not been adequately addressed;
 - 11.4.4. the host institution does not consent to the decision on partial granting of the application or the conditions set.
- 11.5. The decision on the application will be presented to the host institution and the postdoctoral fellow via ETIS. A decision on granting or rejecting an application shall be sent to the host institution within 10 working days of making the decision.

Chapter 4

ALLOCATION OF GRANTS

12. Allocation of Grants

- 12.1. The Council will enter into a tripartite agreement (hereinafter "grant agreement") with the host institution and the postdoctoral fellow. The grant agreement entails the rights, obligations, and responsibilities of the parties.
- 12.2. The grant is allocated to the host institution and the host institution shall enable the postdoctoral fellow to use the allocated grant for implementing the postdoctoral project in accordance with the application.
- 12.3. The payment of the grant shall be subject to the terms and conditions specified in the tripartite grant agreement.
- 12.4. In case a grant is allocated, the host institution shall enter into an employment contract with the postdoctoral fellow for implementing the project if such a contractual relationship did not already exist at the time of awarding the grant or if the existing employment contract needs to be amended.
- 12.5. At the beginning of the project, a grant will be allocated to cover the costs of the first year of the project and at the beginning of the second year of the project, the remaining grant will be allocated.

Chapter 5

TEMPORARY SUSPENSION AND TERMINATION OF A PROJECT

13. Temporary Suspension of a Postdoctoral Project

- 13.1. Temporary suspension of a postdoctoral project can be applied for in case the existing employment contract of the postdoctoral fellow with the host institution is temporarily suspended due to pregnancy, maternity or parental leave, compulsory military service, serious illness or other justified circumstances.
- 13.2. The postdoctoral project can be temporarily suspended on the basis of a reasoned joint application of the host institution and the postdoctoral fellow, to be submitted to the Council. If necessary, a member of the Evaluation Committee will provide the Council with an assessment of the justification of temporary suspension of the postdoctoral project.
- 13.3. In case of circumstances referred to in clause 13.1, the postdoctoral project can be temporarily suspended for a period of up to three years. The end date of the project will be extended by the period of suspension.
- 13.4. The grant agreement will be suspended for the temporary suspension period of the project.

14. Termination of a Postdoctoral Project

14.1. The project will end on the end date of the grant period.

14.2. All the activities financed with the grant money have to be carried out by the end date of the project.

15. Reporting

- 15.1. The host institution in cooperation with the postdoctoral fellow shall prepare the final report of the project either in Estonian or in English and the host institution shall submit it to the Council via ETIS no later than three months after the end date of the project.
- 15.2. The final report shall include the following:
 - 15.2.1. the results (incl. the main results of the project in the form of a popular science summary both in Estonian and in English) in accordance with the objectives set in the application;
 - 15.2.2. the full texts of the articles that have been published as a result of implementing the project and also contain a reference to the grant as well as the industrial property rights;
 - 15.2.3. the potential applicability of the results and their importance for Estonian research, society, and economy as well as the possible directions for future research;
 - 15.2.4. if the project necessitated compliance with the Nagoya Protocol, the due diligence declaration;
 - 15.2.5. additional information that the host institution and the postdoctoral fellow deem relevant;
 - 15.2.6. a report on the usage of the grant.
- 15.3. In case of early termination of the postdoctoral project, the host institution and the postdoctoral fellow are required to submit the final report of the project pursuant to clause 15.1.
- 15.4. In case the host institution is not able to prepare the final report in cooperation with the postdoctoral fellow, the final report shall be prepared and submitted to the Council by the host institution alone.
- 15.5. On the basis of the final report, the Council will assess the implementation of the postdoctoral project and make the assessment available to the host institution and the postdoctoral fellow via ETIS.

16. Making the Results of the Postdoctoral Project Public

16.1. Upon the publication of the results of the postdoctoral project, a reference to the grant that was used for funding the project must be included.

- 16.2. The full texts of the articles that have been published as a result of implementing the postdoctoral project and also contain a reference to the grant will be made freely available by the host institution via ETIS, unless limited by publishing restrictions, copyright or intellectual property laws.
- 16.3. If a publishing house restricts access to the articles temporarily, the host institution will make the full texts of the articles published as a result of implementing the project freely available once the restriction has expired.
- 16.4. The main results of the project in the form of a popular science summary both in Estonian and in English will be made freely available by the Council.

Chapter 6

EARLY TERMINATION OF THE GRANT AGREEMENT AND RECLAMATION OF A GRANT

17. Early Termination of the Grant Agreement

- 17.1. The Council is entitled to revoke the decision of granting the application and to terminate the grant agreement if:
 - 17.1.1. the host institution or the postdoctoral fellow has submitted a respective request;
 - 17.1.2. the host institution or the postdoctoral fellow has fundamentally violated the requirements stipulated in these guidelines or the grant agreement;
 - 17.1.3. the postdoctoral fellow is unable to commence or continue implementing the postdoctoral project (the death of the postdoctoral fellow, serious illness, transitioning to other employment, or other substantial and justified circumstances);
 - 17.1.4. the licence from a human ethics or bioethics research committee has not been submitted prior to the beginning of human or animal experiments or it has become evident that the due diligence declaration stemming from the Nagoya Protocol has been disregarded;
 - 17.1.5. the host institution or the postdoctoral fellow has intentionally presented false information, or plagiarism or fraudulent activities have become evident in the project-related activities of the postdoctoral fellow;
 - 17.1.6. the Council will not be allocated funds from the state budget to cover the costs of the second year of the project;
 - 17.1.7. there are other substantial and justified circumstances.
- 17.2. Before revoking the decision to grant the application or before terminating the grant agreement, the Council shall give the host institution and the postdoctoral fellow an opportunity to submit their position.

- 17.3. After the termination of the grant agreement, the host institution is required to refund the unused portion of the grant to the Council. The postdoctoral fellow and the host institution are required to submit the final report of the project pursuant to clause 15.
- 17.4. If the decision to grant the application is revoked retrospectively, the Council may reclaim the grant from the host institution.

18. Audit and Reclamation of a Grant

- 18.1. A grant not used for the intended purpose will be reclaimed by the Council from the host institution.
- 18.2. The host institution is required to allow the Council or a person authorised by the Council to audit the use of the grant and provide necessary assistance, incl. allowing access to the premises and the territory of the host institution and submitting all the requested documents for the purpose of verifying the correctness of the information provided.