

METIK

Maaelu
Teadmuskeskus

Kogemus "Euroopa horisondist" taotlemisel ja projekti täitmisel

Hanna Tamsalu
võrgustiku osakond

03.02.2023



Maaelu Teadmuskeskus uurib ja teab, kuidas panna Eesti maaelu kasvama



Uuringud

Seire

Laboratoorsed analüüsid

Sordiaretus

Põldkatsed

Teadmussiire



Nõuande- ja innovatsiooni teenused

EL põllumajanduspoliitika tegevused

Kuidas kogemus kogunes



- EURAKNOS - [RUR-15-2018-2019-2020 - Thematic networks compiling knowledge ready for practice](#)
- EUREKA - [RUR-17-2019 - Reinforcing the EU agricultural knowledge base](#)
- EUFarmBook - [HORIZON-CL6-2021-GOVERNANCE-01-24 - Supporting knowledge exchange between all AKIS actors in the Member States by means of an EU-wide interactive knowledge reservoir](#)
- Modern AKIS - [HORIZON-CL6-2021-GOVERNANCE-01-25 - Improving national AKIS organisation in a co-creative process across the EU](#)
- Climate Farm Demo - [HORIZON-CL6-2021-CLIMATE-01-04 - Demonstration network on climate-smart farming – linking pilot farms](#)
- Climate Smart Advisors - [HORIZON-CL6-2022-CLIMATE-01-03 - Demonstration network on climate-smart farming – boosting the role of advisory services](#)
- PERMIERE - [HORIZON-CL6-2022-GOVERNANCE-01-14 - Improving preparation of multi-actor projects to enable the relevant actors to work in a co-creative way](#)

Kuidas saada pardale?

Partner

Ülesandejuht
(Task)

Paketi juht
(WorkPackage)


Projektijuht

- Keegi teab kedagi kes teab kedagi
 - Varasemate projektide partnerid
 - Riiklikud kontaktid, võrgustikud
 - Rahvusvahelised organisatsioonid, platvormid
- Aktiivselt kohtumistel sõna võtmine
 - Esinemised
 - Töörühmades arutamine
- (Sotsiaal)meedia



Konsortsiumi edufaktorid

- Koordinaator on varem edukas olnud
 - Praktiline kogemus HE projektides
- Väike konsortsium on lihtsamini majandatav.
Suur vajab selget juhtimist
 - Iga partner, kes toob lisaväärtust
- Teadmised ja kogemused mitmete osapooltega (AKIS)
 - Euroopa võrgustikud
 - Seosed teiste samateemaliste projektidega
- Riskide hindamine
- Hinnang välise inimese poolt enne taotluse esitamist



Projektitaotluse koostamise ajal

- Projekti juht või projekti kirjutaja
- Tööpakettide eestvedajad
- Mis organisatsiooni/inimesi on vaja kaasata?

- Projektikutse ootused ja elluviimise idee peavad kokku sobima
 - Tee selgeks teema ulatus (varasemad projektid, strateegiad)
 - Kas teema on organisatsiooni tegevusalas?
 - Kas sul endal on aega? Kas oled meeskonnamängija?
 - Kas boss on nõus?



Kuidas hoida energiat

2 / 5 / 7 / 9 aastat

- Selge teekaart
 - Grand chart
 - tegevuste vastastikune sõltuvus
- Partneritel väga erinevad käitumismudelid ja tööeetika
 - Regulaarsed kohtumised
 - Infokirjad, suhtluskeskkonnad
- Erineva intensiivsusega perioodid
 - Vahel ootad mitu kuud tööülesandeid
 - Vahel vaja 2 nädalaga teha kuu töö
- Koordinaator või töötaja vahetub
- Covid jmt



Hindamise näiteid - Excellence

- *The objectives of the proposal are clearly stated and overall pertinent, **sufficiently corresponding to the topic and correctly addressing the topic's requirements.***
- *The pertinence of some specific objectives is limited as they will be dependent on external factors and actors (e.g. reward mechanisms). This is a **shortcoming***
- *Furthermore, the proposal **does not sufficiently consider** some evidence-based effective carbon farming practices studied under the carbon farming initiative (i.e. enhancing soil organic carbon in depleted arable land, protecting carbon-rich soils, such as grasslands and peatlands). This is a **minor** shortcoming.*
- *Moreover, the definition and scope of climate-smart farming practices, as well as any specific taxonomy describing the comprehensive contribution of the selected measures and farming practices that would mitigate emissions of GHGs and that would foster adaptation of the sector to climate change, are **not clearly presented.** This is a **significant** shortcoming.*
- *Open science approach is well presented and based on mandatory and recommended practices. The data management plan is properly addressed.*

Hindamise näiteid - Impact

- *The project is likely to reach the intended outcomes. The project will likely contribute to more informed and engaged end users like primary producers.*
- *The pathways to achieve the outcomes and impacts are adequate although not clearly connected to outputs and the activities (shortcoming).*
- *Potential **barriers** are clearly outlined with credible solutions mitigating the ones identified. Although the scale of the project is evident throughout the proposal, this aspect is **not sufficiently highlighted** in the section dealing with this (minor shortcoming).*
- *The proposal presents a draft plan for communication, dissemination and exploitation which is adequate. Some of the target figures fixed for **CD&E measures** towards all target groups are too low (shortcoming).*

Algatusspiraal



Hindamise näited – Quality and efficiency of the implementation

- *The workplan is very good. The deliverables and milestones are aligned well to the workplan. The timings are appropriate. The milestones are distributed appropriately across the project duration to provide a suitable basis for project management.*
- *The **number of participants** in some of the activities (e.g., the focus groups) is too small to be effective and valid, especially if different types of actors need to be reached. This is a minor shortcoming.*
- *The consortium members are complementary, including different levels of the AKIS system, However, the **consortium is unbalanced** as an MAA project. It is dominated by universities and other research and education organisations, with insufficient participation from user-groups such as farmer organisations, their advisory organisations and funders. This is a shortcoming.*

PERMIERE

Improving preparation
of multi-actor projects
to enable the relevant
actors to work in a co-
creative way

